

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,419	09/22/2003	John Purnell	113705	6513	
25944 OI IFF & RFR	7590 11/02/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			BAUM, RONALD	
ALEXANDRI.	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	1	2136		
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/665,419	PURNELL, JOHN					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ronald Baum	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		·				

~7

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per applicant's argument concerning the lack of teaching by McCoy et al of issuance of an identification token and, the virtual token aspects of the reference constituting an unreasonable interpretation of the claim language, the examiner has fully considered in this response to amendment; the arguments, and finds them not to be persuasive.

At the very least, if reliance upon an explicit requirement that the token aspect of the claim limitation be 'physical', then arguments relating to an implicit interpretation of the reference, what is known as reasonable in the art, etc., insofar as the nature of the token required for the claim, should just be claimed as such in some form in the claim language. Further, the lack of claim language explicitly claiming distinct form for the enrollment token versus possession thereof (i.e., the user possesses it per se), does not allow for distinguishing the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.

The examiner declines to reopen prosecution..

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

10,30,07